1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT TAC	OMA	
10	RICKY ANTHONY YOUNG,		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C13-5079 BHS-JRC	
12	v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS.	
13	SCOTT RUSSELL, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States		
16	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §		
17	636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4.		
18	The Court has reviewed plaintiff's motion for sanctions, (ECF No. 77), and finds that		
19	defendants' counsel has acted reasonably in trying to confer with plaintiff regarding discovery.		
20	Defendants' counsel responds to plaintiff's motion and states that the first discovery meeting		
21	lasted only fifteen minutes because prison officials removed plaintiff from the area and had him		
22	return to his unit for formal count (ECF No. 80). Defendants' counsel arranged a telephonic		
23	meeting that lasted over an hour and a half (id.). C	ounsel states that "plaintiff moved very slowly	
24			

1	through the issues and spent large portions of this conference discussing his views of the First	
2	Amendment." (ECF No. 80, p. 2). Despite plaintiff's conduct, counsel set up another telephonic	
3	conference that lasted forty-five minutes (id.). When counsel concluded this conference he	
4	informed plaintiff that he believed he had complied with the Court's order and that plaintiff	
5	could file a motion to compel regarding issues they had discussed and issues they had not	
6	discussed (ECF No. 80).	
7	The purpose of the meet and confer rule is to avoid unnecessary Court intervention in	
8	discovery disputes. The parties are required to confer in good faith. See, Fed. R. Civ., P. 37(a)(1).	
9	Counsel states that he does not interpret the Court's as requiring him to give plaintiff "unlimited	
10	time to air his grievances, opinions, and beliefs." (ECF No. 80, p. 3). Counsel argues that	
11	plaintiff seems determined to waste time and discuss issues not relevant to the discovery	
12	disputes. Given the amount of time spent on the conferences, the Court finds that defense	
13	counsel has fulfilled his obligation and the Court's order. Plaintiff's motion for sanctions is	
14	denied.	
15	Dated this 6 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2013.	
16	Though waters	
17	J. Richard Creatura	
18	United States Magistrate Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		